

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)

v.)

Timothy Edward Crosby)

Case No: 3:99CR202-02

USM No: 15911-058

Date of Previous Judgment: 12/13/2000

Lyle J. Yurko

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☐ DENIED. ☒ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 84 months **is reduced to** 68 months (Cts 1&2).

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: 29

Amended Offense Level: 27

Criminal History Category: I

Criminal History Category: I

Previous Guideline Range: 87 to 108 months

Amended Guideline Range: 70 to 87 months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.

☒ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.

☐ Other (explain):

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 12/13/2000 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: May 19, 2008

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)



Graham C. Mullen
United States District Judge

